From: ANDERSON Jim M

To: Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: GAINER Tom; MCCLINCY Matt

Subject: FW: Remedial Technology and Alternative Screen

Date: 04/21/2011 04:31 PM

Attachments: example FS site-wide Screen.xls

Kristine,

We discussed your 2 tables in our 4/6/11 EPA FS Team mtg, & some of us gave you some feedback then. As I said in that 4/6 mtg..., very comprehensive, useful, & good work, Kristine!

I understood the purpose of the 2 tables would for our internal use to help us review LWG's Alt/Technologies screening, & that we would not share these the tables with the LWG at this time. I also understood you wanted us to send you important (i.e., not typos or word-smithing) comments. The only comment I have is that I thought you explained the qualitative entries in your "Cost" column were relative only to the different Process Options within each GRA. For instance, the costs the 4 Process Options that are part of the Institutional Control GRA are all "low". I agree that all Institutional Control Process Options are "low" compared to active remediation or other more involved options, but that's a comparison that goes outside of the GRA. I think it would more useful to compare relative costs thru all the GRAs.

Jim

From: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, March 28, 2011 10:43 AM

To: Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov;

Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; ANDERSON Jim M; GAINER Tom; Gustavson.Karl@epamail.epa.gov; bhermanson@parametrix.com; frenchrd@cdm.com; Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov; Colin Wagoner; dbeltman@stratusconsulting.com

Subject: Remedial Technology and Alternative Screen

All - In preparation for the LWGs submittal of the Remedial Technology and Alternative Screen on April 12, 2011, I have prepared a draft site-wide technology and alternative screen (see attached). The first page is the technology screen and the second page is the Alternative Screen. Please review and see if you have any additional language for the effectiveness or implementability of technologies, whether or not you agree with my screening decision, and which technologies should be represented in the alternatives analysis (I didn't do this). Also, look at the alternatives screen of GRAs and see if you agree with the 12 alternatives I've selected and the criteria (see notes).

Please review and be prepared to discuss on April 6. Please send other team members your comments/edits by April 4 so others have an opportunity to review.

Thanks,

Kristine Koch Remedial Project Manager USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705 (206)553-0124 (fax) 1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)